

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,273	08/27/2001	Gust H. Bardy	032580.0027.UTL	5279
22440 7	590 09/24/2003			
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC 270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			DROESCH, KRISTEN L	
NEW YORK,	NY 100160601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	//	Γ.			
Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
09/940,273	BARDY ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Kristen L Droesch	3762				
pears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address				
136(a). In no event, however, may a like within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
April 2003 .					
his action is non-final.					
vance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	J.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
or election requirement.					
er.					
	y the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
xaminer.	·				
gn priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).				
rovisional application has	been received.				
5) Notice					
	Examiner  Kristen L Droesch  Pears on the cover sheet was 136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More graves the application to become a grave date of this communication, even and the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More graves the application to become a grave date of this communication, even and the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More graves the application to become a grave and the statutory minimum of the will apply and the statutory minimum of the will apply and the statutory minimum of the will apply to the consideration.  The property of the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing approved b) approved b) approved b) approved b) are graves as a statutory under 35 U.S. on the shave been received in the certified copies in the certi	BARDY ET AL.    Examiner			

Application/Control Number: 09/940,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-136, drawn to implantable cardioverter defibrillators, classified in class
   607, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 137-219, drawn to methods of implanting implantable cardioverter defibrillators, classified in class 607, subclass 4.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a method of implanting the ICD in the sub-clavicle area and implanting the second electrode into the heart via the venous system, or the method of implanting an ICD into the abdominal area, and implanting two patch electrodes on the heart in two different locations.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention of Group I:

Species I – implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figures 1-3

Species II – implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figures 12-13

Species III – implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figures 14-15

Species IV - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figures 19-21

Species VI - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figure 23A-23B

Species VII - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figure 24A –24C

Species VIII - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figure 25A-25B,

Species IX - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figures 26A-26C

Species X - implantable cardioverter-defibrillator of Figure 28.

5. If applicant elects the invention of Group I, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Restriction between the ICD species comprising a ceramic housing, the ICD species comprising a titanium alloy housing, the ICD species comprising a stainless steel housing, and the ICD species comprising a polymeric housing are considered clearly unpatentable over each other, therefore restriction between those species is not required.

Restriction between the species shown in Fig. 23A, and the species in Fig. 23B are considered clearly unpatentable over each other, therefore restriction between those species is not required.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,273

Art Unit: 3762

Restriction between the species shown in Fig. 24B, and the species in Fig. 24C are considered clearly unpatentable over each other, therefore restriction between those species is not required.

Restriction between the species shown in Fig. 25A, and the species in Fig. 25B are considered clearly unpatentable over each other, therefore restriction between those species is not required.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the *allowance* of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 3762

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen L Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

kld

Kristen Brossel

PRIMARY EXAMINER